

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/549,814 | 04/14/2000 | Matthew D. Hendel | MS1-468US | 8691 |
| 22801 | 7590 01/27/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| LEE & HAYES PLLC 421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500 | | | TO, BAOQUOC N | |
| SPOKANE, V | | 2 300 | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| , | | | 2162 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 01/27/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/549,814 | HENDEL ET AL. | | | | | | |
| rarisory risus. | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | | |
| | Baoquoc N To | 2162 | | | | | | |
| | Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 02 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a nal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in ondition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | | | | | | | | |
| _ | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing is FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount is shortened statutory period for reply once later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The appropriately set in the final (a) | on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or | | | | | |
| . A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | | | | | | |
| (a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b | • | , | | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | | | |
| 5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: | reconsideration has been consideration | dered but does NOT | T place the | | | | | |
| The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY to | o issues which were | e newly | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo | | | and an | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-75</u> . | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 76 and 77. | | | | | | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr | oved or b) disapproved by the | ne Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | · | | | | | | |
| 0. Other: | | A | | | | | | |
| | | (M) | | | | | | |
| | JEAN PDI | N. CORRECT | | | | | | |
| | , 11 N | ANY EXAMINER | | | | | | |

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the amendment "minidump" and "comprising one of the following reasons: callstack fault, processor fault, and application program fault" raise new issue that would require further consideration and/or search.